ML

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/100,671	06/19/1998	JEFFREY MARK ZUCKER	6057-37702	8812
35690	7590 03/06/2008 , HOOD, KIVLIN, KOW	EPT & COETTEL PC	EXAM	IINER
P.O. BOX 398		ERT & GOLTZEE, T.C.	RETTA, Y	EHDEGA
AUSTIN, TX 7	78767-0398		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Let per in the first		•	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/100,671	ZUCKER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Yehdega Retta	3622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet (vith the correspondence addres	is
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 6(a). In no event, however, may a fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this commu ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ju 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ce except for formal ma		rits is
Disposition of Claims			,
4) Claim(s) 87-147 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 87-147 are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the order are considered to by the Examiner 11). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11.	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya on is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in ity documents have bee (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stag	је
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	*
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Other:	Informal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 3622

Response to Amendment

This office action is in response to RCE filed July 7, 2007. Applicant canceled claims 70-86, amended claim 87 and added claims 93-147. Claims 87-147 are currently pending.

Priority

Applicant is claiming a CIP of application No. 08/784,829 (US 6,119,101) filed 1/17/1997, which claim provisional to 60/010087 filed 1/17/1996 and to 08/970,470 (US 6,286,002), filed 11/14/1997. However these applications do not include the claimed subject matter applicant is claiming in the instance application, therefore, the current application cannot receive the benefit of the filing data of the parent cases (08/784,829) and 08/970,470 and also cannot receive the benefit of the provisional application (60/010,087) for the claimed invention. The parent cases do not disclose method and/or system for payment system as claimed in the current application.

Election/Restrictions

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 1. Claims 87-92, drawn to financial institution receiving a pseudo credit account identifier that was established by the financial institution, classified in class 705, subclass 74.
- II. Claims 93-122, drawn to a privacy server configured to provide the seller fictitious payment information not usable to determine any of the set of information associated with the actual form of payment, classified in class 705, subclass 79.

Art Unit: 3622

III. Claims 123-132, drawn to privacy server configured to facilitate a transaction such that each of the entities have access to a least one of but not all of the information, classified in class 705, subclass 74.

IV. Claims 133-147, drawn to retrieving an alias payment information in response to receiving identifier from the seller and providing the alias payment information to the seller, classified in class 705, subclass 74.

Inventions I and II, III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the feature of the privacy server configured to facilitate transaction or to provide the seller with fictitious payment to facilitate transaction is a particular feature of the subcombination. The subcombination has separate utility such as a privacy server providing fictitious or pseudo payment information. Since applicant independently claims the invention of this group, it is a prima facie showing that the inventions are intended to be independent and distinct and are shown to be separately usable.

Inventions IV and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the

Art Unit: 3622

subcombination as claimed because the feature of the financial institution to establish pseudo credit account and receiving the pseudo credit account information from the seller is a particular feature of the subcombination. The subcombination has separate utility such as financial institution to establish pseudo credit account and receiving the pseudo credit account information from the seller. Since applicant independently claims the invention of this group, it is a prima facie showing that the inventions are intended to be independent and distinct and are shown to be separately usable.

Inventions IV and II III are related as combination and subcombination.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the feature of a privacy server configured to facilitate a transaction wherein the transaction involves one or more entities in addition to the buyer, seller and the privacy server such that each entity have access to at least one, but not all the information is a particular feature of the subcombination. The subcombination has separate utility such as a privacy server configured to facilitate a transaction wherein the transaction involves one or more entities in addition to the buyer, seller and the privacy server. Since applicant independently claims the invention of this group, it is a prima facie showing that the inventions are intended to be independent and distinct and are shown to be separately usable.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently

Art Unit: 3622

found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Inventions II and III, are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination III has separate utility such as privacy server configured to facilitate a transaction wherein the transaction involves one or more entities in addition to the buyer, seller and the privacy server such that each entity have access to at least one, but not all the information. This separate use distinguishes the invention of Group III from Group II since this claimed feature is not limitation of the independently claimed invention. Therefore the invention of Group II is a separately useable subcombination. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together.

Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR

1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a

Art Unit: 3622

claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3622

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yehdega Retta whose telephone number is (571) 272-6723. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on (571) 272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Yeldeza Rotte

YR